6

7

HYDE & SWIGART

22

20

25

28

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

Robert L. Hyde, Esq. (SBN: 227183)

bob@westcoastlitigation.com

David C. Leimbach (SBN: 265409) dleimbach@westcoastlitigation.com

HYDE & SWIGART

411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301

San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for the Plaintiff



2010 JUN 14 PM 3: 33

CLERY US DISTRICT COURTSOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Scott Thompson, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

Plaintiffs.

v.

CBA - Collection Bureau of America

Defendant.

Case Number: '10 CV 1 268

**CLASS ACTION** 

BGS

Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief Pursuant To The **Telephone Consumer Protection** Act, 47 U.S.C § 227 et seq.

Н

Jury Trial Demanded

## **INTRODUCTION**

ή. Scott Thompson ("Plaintiff") brings this class action for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of CBA - Collection Bureau of America ("Defendant"), in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff



1

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

san Diego, California 14 15

HYDE & SWIGART

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff seeks up to 2. \$1,500 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class number in the tens of thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a Therefore, both elements of diversity different state than that of Defendant. jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of 3. California.

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen and 4. resident of the State of California, and a resident of the County of San Diego. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- Plaintiff is informed and believed, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at 5. all times mentioned herein was, a corporation whose primary corporate address is in Hayward, California. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10). Plaintiff is

9

10

20

informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that on multiple occasions 6. over numerous days, all prior to the date this Complaint was filed, but sometime after four years prior to the Date this Complaint was filed, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's cellular telephone via an "automatic telephone dialing system," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1).
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that during these 7. telephone calls Defendant used "an artificial or prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- The telephone number Defendant called was assigned to a cellular telephone 8. service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- These telephone calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as 9. defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- Plaintiff did not provide express consent to receive calls on Plaintiff's cellular 10. telephone, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- Plaintiff did not provide "prior express consent" to Defendant to place telephone 11. calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone with an artificial or prerecorded voice as proscribed under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- These telephone calls by Defendant were in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1). 12.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of and all others 13. similarly situated (the "Class"). The proposed that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined as follows:

1

7 8

9

10

6

11 12

13

- 14 15
  - 17

16

- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26 27

28

- All persons within the United States who received any telephone call a. from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint ("The Class").
- Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, The Class, because Plaintiff received 14. telephone calls from Defendant to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice.
- Defendant, its employees and agents are excluded from The Class. Plaintiff does 15. not know the number of members in The Class, but believes The Class' members Thus, this matter should be number in the tens of thousands, if not more. certified as a Class Action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- Plaintiff and members of The Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at 16. least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and The Class members by way of their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and The Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and The Class members previously paid, by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant during those illegal calls, and by invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and The Class members. Plaintiff and The Class were damaged thereby.
- This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic 17. injury on behalf of The Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand The Class definitions to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- The joinder of The Class members is impractical and the disposition of their 18. claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records.

7

12

10

16

- There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact 19. involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to The Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant made any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service.
  - c. Whether Plaintiff and The Class were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
  - d. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- As a person that received numerous calls using an automatic telephone dialing 20. system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's express prior consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of The Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of The Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of The Class.
- Plaintiff and the members of The Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a 21. result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, The Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, The Class' members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.

5

1

7

10

27

- Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and 22. claims involving consumer actions and violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this 23. controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 24. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to The Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint 25. as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and 26. multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, 27. Plaintiff and The Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Plaintiff and the The Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief 28. prohibiting such conduct in the future.

6

9

10

26

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE **TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT** 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint 29. as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and 30. multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 31. et seq., Plaintiff and each of the The Class are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Plaintiff and the The Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief 32. prohibiting such conduct in the future.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and The Class members the following relief against Defendant:

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b) (1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

## TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Date: June 10, 2010

**HYDE & SWIGART** 

By: \_

David &. Leimbach

Attorneys for Plaintiff

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the local rules of court. This for	3:10-cv-01268-Hells information contained here m, approved by the Judicial C	in neither replace nor s						except as j rpose of i	provided initiating
the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)  I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Scott Thompson, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated				DEFENDANTS CBA - Collection Bureau of America					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Haywood (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
Hyde & Swigart 411 Camino Del Rio S 619 233 7770		Diego, CA 92108		Attorneys (If Known) 10 CV				One Box fo	or Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	Government 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	① 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			zen of Another State  2				5 6	☐ 5 ☐ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				ORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES					ree
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIO  510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus:  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Ot  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	Y	ORFEITURE/PENALTY  10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR  10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appea   423 Withd 28 US   PROPER   820 Copyr   830 Patent   840 Trades   862 Black   863 DIWC   864 SSID   865 RSI (4 FEDERA   870 Taxes or De   871 IRS   26 US   286 US	rawal C 157  TY RIGHTS ights  mark  SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	□ 400 State R □ 410 Antitru □ 430 Banks : □ 450 Commi □ 460 Deport □ 470 Råckete Corrup □ 480 Consur □ 490 Cables' □ 810 Selecti □ 850 Securit Exchan □ 875 Custon □ 12 USC ▼ 890 Other S □ 891 Agricu □ 892 Econor □ 893 Enviro □ 894 Energy □ 895 Freedo Act □ 900Appeal	eapportion st and Bankin cree ation eer Influen t Organizat ner Credit Sat TV ve Service ies/Commo uge ner Challen C 3410 Statutory A ltural Acts mic Stabiliz mmental M v Allocation m of Infon of Fee Det Equal Acco ce tutionality	ng need and tions  codities/ nge Actions sization Act Matters on Act mation termination
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Brief description of ca	Appellate Court  Type S.C. \$ 227 et  CPA  IS A CLASS ACTIO	Rec are filing SEQ		al statutes un	6 Multidistr Litigation nless diversity): HECK YES only URY DEMAND	if demanded in	Appeal to Judge from Magistrat Judgmen	om ate nt
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNE)	OF RECORD	DOCKE	T NUMBER	ORIG	3IN.	716

June 11, 2010
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # 14587

JUDGE \_

MAG. JUDGE

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS014587 Cashier ID: bhartman

Transaction Date: 06/14/2010 Payer Name: HYDE AND SWIGART

CIVIL FILING FEE For: THOMPSON V CBA

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-001268-001

Amount: \$350.00

Check/Money Order Num: 3915 Amt Tendered: \$350.00

\$350.00 Total Due: Total Tendered: \$350.00 Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.